Application No. Applicant(s) 10/588.656 SUGIYAMA ET AL.

Interview Summary			
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SOPHIE HON	1794	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Sophie Hon.	(3)Etsuko Ohno.		
(2) Richard Treanor.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 12 June 2008.			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 2001/0022997</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	i)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Some proposed amendo</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS 'HIS LATER, TO
	/Sophie Hon/		
	Examiner, Art Unit 1794		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	red	

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080612